Herbicide resistant weeds: the case of resistance to glyphosate

The Duc Ngo*

Plant Resources Center, Vietnam Academy of Agricultural Sciences Received 22 April 2020; accepted 29 June 2020

Abstract:

Glyphosate has become the most widely used herbicide worldwide since 1974 with a global use of 8.6 billion kg (glyphosate active ingredient) between 1974 and 2014. This study reports on glyphosate resistant (GR) weeds and their resistance mechanisms based on global scientifically reported cases. Forty-nine different weed species have evolved resistance to glyphosate in 29 countries with a total of 318 identified cases worldwide. Fifty percent of these resistance cases were found in glyphosate-resistant cropping systems. There were 255 identified cases (80.2%) of glyphosate resistance in the top five countries (in terms of number of cases and species), namely USA, Australia, Argentina, Brazil, and Canada. The five most popular weed species (in terms of number of cases) found to be resistant to glyphosate were Conyza canadensis, Amaranthus palmeri, Amaranthus tuberculatus, Lolium perenne ssp. Multiflorum, and Ambrosia artemisiifolia with 42, 42, 29, 26, and 21 reported cases, respectively. Out of 49 weed species, 19 GR weed species were found to not only be resistant to glyphosate but also to other herbicide sites of action (multiple herbicide resistance). Glyphosate resistance mechanisms in weeds include (1) target-site alterations: target-site mutation and target-site gene amplification; and (2) non-target-site mechanisms involving different modes of exclusion from the target site: reduced glyphosate uptake, reduced glyphosate translocation, and enhanced glyphosate metabolism. It is essential to have an integrated weed management program that includes not only smart herbicide mixtures and rotations, but also cultural, manual, mechanical, and crop-based weed management methods.

Keywords: crop, glyphosate resistance, herbicide, mechanism, resistance, tolerance, weed.

Classification number: 3.4

Introduction

Glyphosate is the most widely used non-selective herbicide in the world [1]. There are several main attributes that make glyphosate a valuable herbicide. For example, it provides simple, inexpensive, flexible, and effective control of a broad spectrum of weeds in a wide variety of agronomic situations [2]. The use of this herbicide has continued to increase as its patent has expired and price declined. When the price of glyphosate declined, it was not only used in high-value crops [1] but also in many other crop or noncrop situations, such as weeds with burndown application, fallow situations, along roadsides, around structures, and in parks [3]. Importantly, when GR crops such as GR soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.), cotton (*Gosspium hirsutum* L.), and maize (*Zea mays* L.) became available for planting in the U.S in 1996, 1997, and 1998, respectively, and later in other countries, more glyphosate has been used in GR cropping systems. These GR cropping systems made it possible to use glyphosate as a broadcast, post-emergence herbicide by controlling all emerged weeds over a wide range of application timings.

Glyphosate (N-phosphonomethyl glycine) is a phosphonomethyl derivative of the amino acid glycine and has a unique mode of action. Glyphosate inhibits the enzyme 5-enolpyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate synthase (EPSPS) [4], which is present in plants, fungi, and bacteria, but not in animals [5]. EPSPS is the sixth enzyme of the shikimic acid pathway, in which phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) and erythrose 4-phosphate are converted to chorismate, the precursor

^{*}Email: thengoduc@gmail.com

of the aromatic amino acids (phenylalanine, tyrosine, and tryptophan) and many aromatic secondary metabolites (e.g., auxins, phytoalexins, anthocyanins, and lignin) [6]. EPSPS catalyses the transfer of the enolpyruvyl moiety from PEP to shikimate-3-phosphate (S3P) [7]. Glyphosate is a transition state analogue of PEP and inhibits EPSPS through the formation of an EPSPS-S3P-glyphosate ternary complex, only binding to the enzyme after the formation of EPSPS-S3P binary complex [8]. Therefore, glyphosate acts as a competitive inhibitor with PEP as it occupies its binding site [9]. EPSPS inhibition by glyphosate prevents the biosynthesis of aromatic amino acids [7]. Glyphosate is the only commercial herbicide that targets EPSPS in all higher plants [1].

Herbicide resistance is defined by the Weed Science Society of America [10] as the inherited ability of a plant to survive and reproduce following exposure to a dose of herbicide normally lethal to the wild type. In a plant, resistance may be naturally occurring or induced by techniques such as genetic engineering or selection of variants produced by tissue culture or mutagenesis. Similarly, Powles, et al. (1996) [11] defined herbicide resistance as "the inherited ability of a weed population to survive a herbicide application that is normally lethal to a vast majority of individuals of that species". To develop effective herbicide resistance management strategies, it is essential to understand the processes and means by which weeds withstand labelled herbicide treatments. Thus, this document reports GR weeds based on scientifically reported cases globally and their resistance mechanisms to glyphosate are discussed.

Occurrence of GR weeds

In 1996, the first evolved resistance to glyphosate in weed species was reported in rigid ryegrass (*Lolium rigidum* Gaudin) in Australia [12]. Since then, there has been a sharp increase in the number of GR weeds because of the decades long over-reliance on glyphosate for pre-sowing weed control and the introduction and rapid adoption of

GR transgenic crops in the mid-1990s [13]. To date, 318 cases of glyphosate resistance have been identified in 49 different weed species in 29 countries worldwide [14, 15]. Among these cases, about 50% were found in GR cropping systems [3] such as GR cotton, maize, soybean, and canola (Brassica spp.). Between 1974 and 2014, the global use of glyphosate active ingredient was 8.6 billion kg and 19% (over 1.6 billion kg) of glyphosate used in USA. Glyphosate use in the GR cropping systems accounted for 56% of total global glyphosate usage in 2012 [16]. Heap and Duke (2018) [3] stated that both high rates (implying a high selection pressure) and low rates of glyphosate application (implying a low selection pressure) would result in more rapid evolution of herbicide resistance. Therefore, half of glyphosate resistance cases were found in these GR crop systems.

There were 255 identified cases (80.2%) of glyphosate resistance in the top five countries (in terms of number of cases and species). There were 169 cases in 17 species in the United States of America (USA), 36 cases in 19 species in Australia, 19 cases in 15 species in Argentina, 17 cases in 10 species in Brazil, 14 cases in 6 species Canada (Table 1). These four countries (except Australia) were also in the top five countries that planted more than 90% of genetically modified (GM) crops worldwide. In 2018, the top five GM crop-growing countries, namely USA, Brazil, Argentina, Canada and India, produced 75.0, 51.3, 23.9, 12.7, and 11.6 million ha of GM crops, respectively [17].

The number of GR cases in USA was 4.6 times that in Australia. However, Australia was on the top in the number of weed species evolved resistance to glyphosate with 19 weed species (Table 1). There were 125.3 million kg of glyphosate active ingredient used in USA in 2014 [16], while annual glyphosate use in Australia was 24.1 million kg of active ingredient [18]. In Southeast Asia, glyphosate resistance has been reported in Malaysia and Indonesia in goosegrass (*Eleusine indica* (L.) Gaertn.) and woody borreria (*Hedyotis verticillata* (L.) Lam.). There were no cases of GR weed reported in Vietnam (Table 1).

Table 1. GR weeds: number of cases and species by country.

No	Country	No. of cases	No. of species			
1	United States	169	17			
2	Australia	36	19			
3	Argentina	19	15			
4	Brazil	17	10			
5	Canada	14	6			
6	Spain	6	5			
7	Colombia	5	4			
8	South Africa	5	2			
9	Chile	4	1			
10	Greece	4	4			
11	Italy	4	3			
12	New Zealand	4	2			
13	France	3	2			
14	Japan	3	3			
15	Malaysia	3	2			
16	Paraguay	3	3			
17	Portugal	3	3			
18	China	2	2			
19	Costa	2	2			
20	Mexico	2	2			
21	Israel	2	2			
22	Bolivia	1	1			
23	Czech Republic	1	1			
24	Hungary	1	1			
25	Indonesia	1	1			
26	Poland	1	1			
27	Switzerland	1	1			
28	Turkey	1	1			
29	Venezuela	1	1			
Adapted from [14] (accessed 30 March 2020) and [15]						

Among the 49 different weed species that have evolved resistance to glyphosate, the top five weed species (in terms of number of cases) contributed to 160 cases (50.3%). They were horseweed (*Conyza canadensis* (L.) Cronq.), palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri* S. Watson), tall waterhemp (*Amaranthus tuberculatus* (Moq.) J.D. Sauer), Italian ryegrass (*Lolium perenne* ssp. *multiflorum* (Lam.) Husnot), and common ragweed (*Ambrosia artemisiifolia* L.) with 42, 42, 29, 26, and 21 reported cases, respectively. *Conyza canadensis* was the most commonly found GR weed species with 42 cases in 13 countries (Table 2). *Lolium rigidum* was the first weed species to evolve resistance to glyphosate in Australia in 1996 [12] followed by 48 others weed species. In 2020, *Chloris distichophylla* (Lag.) from areas of soybean cultivation in Brazil was the 49th weed species that evolved resistance to glyphosate [15].

Unfortunately, 19 out of 49 GR weed species had evolved multiple herbicide resistance (Table 2), leaving growers with fewer herbicidal options for weed control. For example, *A. tuberculatus* in Ontario, Canada evolved multiple resistance (4 herbicide sites of action) to (1) EPSP synthase inhibitors (phosphanoglycine: glyphosate), (2) acetolactate synthase (ALS) inhibitors (imidazolinones), (3) Photosystem II inhibitors (triazines), and (4) protoporphyrinogen oxidase (PPO) inhibitors (diphenylether). *Amaranthus palmeri* in Arkansas, USA also evolved multiple resistance (5 herbicide sites of action) to (1) EPSP synthase inhibitors, (2) ALS inhibitors, (3) PPO inhibitors, (4) microtubule inhibitors (initroaniline), and (5) long chain fatty acid inhibitors (chloroacetamides).

In Vietnam, herbicides and mechanical and manual weeding are used for weed control. There were 104 glyphosate-based herbicides registered in Vietnam [19]. The annual glyphosate use in Vietnam was 3.22 million kg of active ingredient [18]. Glyphosate accounted for 36% of total herbicide use (in terms of active ingredient) and 35% of total spray area in Vietnam. Glyphosate use in rubber, coffee, rice, and sugarcane accounted for 57, 12, 6, and 5%, respectively, of total usage [18]. Fourteen genetically modified (GM) crop events for herbicide tolerance have been approved in Vietnam, include eight events (three events for glyphosate tolerance) of maize (Zea mays L.) and six events (three events for glyphosate tolerance) of soybean (Glycine max L.) [20]. Glyphosatetolerant maize was grown on 55,000 ha in Vietnam [18]. GR weed has not been scientifically reported in Vietnam yet. This may be because there have been insufficient studies in weed science and herbicide resistance in Vietnam, and particularly, research in glyphosate resistance. Heap and Duke (2018) [3] also stated that herbicide resistant weeds were underreported in developing countries. Additional research needs to be conducted in weed science in order to improve weed management practices in these countries. However, populations of barnyard grass (Echinochloa crus-galli (L.) P. Beauv.) collected at several locations in the Mekong delta, Vietnam were confirmed to be resistant to two herbicide sites of action, namely synthetic auxins inhibitors (quinclorac) and ALS inhibitors (bispyribac, penoxsulam) [21].

Table 2. GR weeds: number of cases and countries by species.

No	Species	No. of cases	No. of countries	Multiple resistance		
1	Conyza canadensis	42	13	Yes		
2	Amaranthus palmeri	42	3	Yes		
3	Amaranthus tuberculatus	29	2	Yes		
4	Lolium perenne ssp. multiflorum	26	9	Yes		
5	Ambrosia artemisiifolia	21	2	Yes		
6	Lolium rigidum	17	8	Yes		
7	Ambrosia trifida	17	2			
8	Kochia scoparia	17	2	Yes		
9	Eleusine indica	14	10	Yes		
10	Conyza bonariensis	13	10	Yes		
11	Conyza sumatrensis	11	7	Yes		
12	Poa annua	7	2	Yes		
13	Echinochloa colona	6	4	Yes		
14	Sorghum halepense	5	2	Yes		
15	Lolium perenne	4	3	Yes		
16	Amaranthus hybridus	4	2	Yes		
17	Digitaria insularis	3	3			
18	Chloris virgata	3	1			
19	Salsola tragus	3	1			
20	Brassica rapa	2	2	Yes		
21	Parthenium hysterophorus	2	2			
22	Urochloa panicoides	2	2			
23	Chloris truncata	2	1			
24	Amaranthus spinosus	1	1			
25	Avena fatua	1	1			
		1				
26	Avena sterilis ssp. ludoviciana	•	1			
27	Bidens pilosa	1	1			
28	Bidens subalternans	1	1			
29	Brachiaria eruciformis	1	1			
30	Bromus catharticus	1	1			
31	Bromus diandrus	1	1			
32	Bromus rubens	1	1			
33	Carduus acanthoides	1	1	Yes		
34	Chloris elata	1	1			
35	Chloris radiata	1	1			
36	Cynodon hirsutus	1	1			
37	Echinochloa crus-galli var.	1	1			
37	crus-galli	1	1			
38	Hedyotis verticillata	1	1	Yes		
39	Helianthus annuus	1	.1			
40	Hordeum murinum ssp. glaucum	1	1			
41	Lactuca saligna	1	1			
42	Lactuca serriola	1	1			
43	Leptochloa virgata	1	1			
44	Paspalum paniculatum	1	1			
45	Plantago lanceolata	1	1			
46	Raphanus raphanistrum	1	1	Yes		
40	Sonchus oleraceus	1	1	105		
47		•				
	Tridax procumbens	1	1			
49	Chloris distichophylla	1	1	-		
Adapted from [14] (accessed 30 March 2020) and [15]						

Glyphosate resistance mechanisms

Resistance mechanisms to glyphosate in weeds include (1) target-site alterations and (2) non-target-site mechanisms.

Target-site mechanisms

Target-site alterations include (1a) target-site mutation [22-24], represented by amino acid substitutions that affect herbicide interactions at the target enzyme; and (1b) target-site gene amplification [25-27], where sufficient EPSPS protein is produced so that the shikimate pathway can continue to operate despite the fact that glyphosate inhibits some of the enzyme.

Target-site mutations that change Pro106 of EPSPS to Ala, Leu, Ser, or Thr have been reported in GR populations of 6 different weed species [28, 29]. It was concluded that the Pro101 (position Pro106 in plant mature EPSPS consensus corresponds to position Pro101 in E. coli) is not directly involved in molecular interactions with either glyphosate or the substrate PEP, but any mutation at this site would shift other amino acids (Thr97 and Gly96) towards the inhibitor molecule resulting in a structural change to the glyphosate-binding site [30]. More recently, a double amino acid substitution in a single EPSPS allele (Thr102Ile+Pro106Ser) was found in glyphosate-resistant E. indica populations from Malaysia and China [23, 24]. This double amino acid substitution conferred high-level glyphosate resistance (more than 180-fold) [23] whereas the single Pro-106 mutations of the 6 weed species provided only moderate resistance (less than 10-fold) [29]. Another double TIPT mutation (Thr102Ile and Pro106Thr) was reported in a population of glyphosate-resistant greater beggarticks (Bidens subalternans DC.) from Paraguay [31]. Especially, a triple amino acid substitution from TAP to IVS was found in the EPSPS gene of a GR smooth pigweed population (Amaranthus hybridus L.) from Argentina. The nucleotide substitutions were Ile102 (ATA), Val103 (GTC), and Ser106 (TCA) in place of Thr102 (ACA), Ala103 (GCG), and Pro106 (CCA), respectively [32].

Glyphosate resistance due to extensive amplification of the EPSPS gene was first revealed in a population of *A. palmeri* in Georgia, USA in 2010 [27] and in Arkansas, USA in 2018 [33]. This mechanism has since been identified in 8 other weed species: *L. multiflorum* [26], spiny amaranth (*Amaranthus spinosus* L.) [34], *A. tuberculatus* [35, 36], *E. indica* [24], kochia (*Kochia scoparia* (L.) Schrad.) [37], ripgut brome (*Bromus diandrus Roth*) [25], windmillgrass (*Chloris truncata* R. Br) [38], and smooth barley (*Hordeum* murinum ssp. glaucum (Steud.)) [39]. Individuals of the GR populations contained few to many more copies of the EPSPS gene than did the susceptible plants, with the number of EPSPS copies found to be variable both between and within populations. For example, A. palmeri from Georgia, the Carolinas, and New Mexico had, respectively, 5 to 160-fold [27], 22 to 36-fold [40], and 2 to 8-fold [41] more copies of the EPSPS gene and a 10 to 36-fold increase in B. diandrus [25] and a 10 to 25-fold increase in L. multiflorum [26]. It has been suggested that the effect of additional copies of the EPSPS is additive and additional copies confer higher levels of resistance to glyphosate [27]. This amplification of the EPSPS gene produces sufficient EPSPS protein to enable the shikimate pathway to continue to operate despite glyphosate inhibition of some of the enzymes [42].

Non-target-site mechanisms

Glyphosate is taken up through plant surfaces and leaf uptake rates vary considerably between species. Diffusion is the most likely mode of transport across the plant cuticle [1], which varies in composition and thickness among different plant species. Uptake is also dependent on several interdependent factors: droplet size and droplet spread, surfactant type and concentration, ionic strength and salt concentration, humidity, and, most importantly, glyphosate concentration [43]. After it is absorbed, the physicochemical properties of glyphosate enable it to be translocated from the leaf via phloem transport to meristematic growing points in the roots and shoots [1, 43]. The phloem movement of glyphosate and the efficiency of translocation are affected by the health and developmental stage of the plant, which is often related to environmental conditions [43] such as temperature.

Non-target-site mechanisms include (2a) reduced glyphosate uptake [44, 45], where less glyphosate is absorbed by resistant plants than susceptible plants; (2b) reduced glyphosate translocation [44, 46-48], where amounts of glyphosate absorbed by both resistant and susceptible plants are similar after glyphosate treatment; however, the absorbed herbicide mostly remains in the treated leaf in resistant biotypes and only a smaller amount of glyphosate was transported to the meristems of the treated plant; and (2c) enhanced glyphosate metabolism [49, 50].

Reduced glyphosate uptake has been reported in GR populations of sourgrass (*Digitaria insularis* (L.) Mez ex Ekman) and johnsongrass (*Sorghum halepense*

(L.) Pers.) [44, 45]. Carvalho, et al. (2012) [45] stated that reduced glyphosate uptake is one of the glyphosate resistance mechanisms in D. insularis in Brazil. The susceptible plants absorbed at least 12% more glyphosate than resistant sourgrass plants up to 48 h after treatment. Reduced glyphosate translocation has been found in GR plants of S. halepense, L. rigidum, and perennial ryegrass (Lolium perenne L.) [44, 46-48]. It was reported that reduced glyphosate translocation to meristems is the main mechanism conferring glyphosate resistance in S. halepense in Argentina. The amount that translocated to the meristems of the resistant plants was threefold less than in the susceptible plants of johnsongrass [44]. Enhanced glyphosate metabolism has been reported in some weed species such as an Australian population of E. colona [49] and in the populations of 3 Conyza species from Greece [50]. It was revealed that glyphosate was metabolized to produce aminomethylphosphonic acid and glyoxylate [49, 50].

Conclusions

In conclusion, weeds that are resistant to glyphosate will continue to increase in terms of both number of species and cases. However, this increase can be slowed down by improved resistance management. For example, it may not be sustainable to use a single herbicide to manage weeds. Herbicides need to be exploited in a more sustainable way and additional methods must be used to control GR weeds. To have sustainable weed management practices, herbicides should not only involve smart herbicide mixtures and rotations, but also be part of a much more intensive integrated weed management program [51] that includes mechanical, cultural, and crop-based weed management strategies.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author gratefully acknowledges all of the scientists that have contributed the data (weeds resistant to EPSP synthase inhibitors) to the international survey of herbicide resistant weeds. The author would like to thank anonymous reviewers for their useful suggestions and comments. Disclaimer: The views, opinions, or recommendations expressed in this document are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect or should not be reported as those of the organization he is affiliated to.

COMPETING INTERESTS

The author declares that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article.

REFERENCES

[1] S.O. Duke, S.B. Powles (2008), "Glyphosate: a once-in-acentury herbicide", *Pest Manage. Sci.*, 64(4), pp.319-325.

[2] A.D. Baylis (2000), "Why glyphosate is a global herbicide: strengths, weaknesses and prospects", *Pest Manage. Sci.*, **56(4)**, pp.299-308.

[3] I. Heap, S.O. Duke (2018), "Overview of glyphosate-resistant weeds worldwide", *Pest Manage. Sci.*, **74(5)**, pp.1040-1049.

[4] N. Amrhein, B. Deus, P. Gehrke, H.C. Steinrucken (1980), "The site of the inhibition of the shikimate pathway by glyphosate: II. Interference of glyphosate with chorismate formation invivo and invitro", *Plant Physiol.*, **66(5)**, pp.830-834.

[5] G.M. Kishore, D.M. Shah (1988), "Amino-acid biosynthesis inhibitors as herbicides", *Annu. Rev. Biochem.*, **57**, pp.627-663.

[6] K.M. Herrmann, L.M. Weaver (1999), "The shikimate pathway", *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.*, **50**(1), pp.473-503.

[7] A. Perez-Jones, C. Mallory-Smith (2010), "Biochemical mechanisms and molecular basis of evolved glyphosate resistance in weed species", *Glyphosate Resistance in Crops and Weeds*, pp.119-140.

[8] M.F. Alibhai, W.C. Stallings (2001), "Closing down on glyphosate inhibition - with a new structure for drug discovery", *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **98(6)**, pp.2944-2946.

[9] E. Schonbrunn, S. Eschenburg, W.A. Shuttleworth, J.V. Schloss, N. Amrhein, J.N.S. Evans, W. Kabsch (2001), "Interaction of the herbicide glyphosate with its target enzyme 5-enolpyvuvylshikimate 3-phosphate synthase in atomic detail", *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **98(4)**, pp.1376-1380.

[10] Weed Science Society of America (1998), "Technology notes: herbicide resistance and herbicide tolerance defined", *Weed Technol.*, **12(4)**, pp.789-790.

[11] S.B. Powles, C. Preston, I.B. Bryan, A.R. Jutsum (1996), "Herbicide resistance: impact and management", *Advances in Agronomy*, pp.57-93.

[12] J. Pratley, P. Baines, P. Eberbach, M. Incerti, J. Broster (1996), "Glyphosate resistance in annual ryegrass", *Proc. Eleventh Ann. Conf. Grassld. Soc. NSW*, The Grassland Society of New South Wales, Australia, pp.121-122.

[13] S.B. Powles (2008), "Evolved glyphosate-resistant weeds around the world: lessons to be learnt", *Pest Manage. Sci.*, **64(4)**, pp.360-365.

[14] I.M. Heap (2020), "Weeds resistant to EPSP synthase inhibitors (G/9)", *The International Survey of Herbicide-Resistant Weeds*, available at: http://weedscience.org/summary/MOA. aspx?MOAID=12.

[15] J.G. Vázquez-García, S. Golmohammadzadeh, C. Palma-Bautista, A.M. Rojano-Delgado, J.A. Domínguez-Valenzuela, H.E. Cruz-Hipólito, R. De Prado (2020), "New case of false-star-grass (*Chloris distichophylla*) population evolving glyphosate resistance", Agronomy, 10(3), DOI: 10.3390/agronomy10030377.

[16] C.M. Benbrook (2016), "Trends in glyphosate herbicide use in the United States and globally", *Environmental Sciences Europe*, **28(3)**, DOI: 10.1186/s12302-016-0070-0.

[17] International Service for the Acquisition of Agri-biotech Applications (ISAAA) (2020), *Biotech Country Facts and Trends*, available at: http://www.isaaa.org/resources/publications/biotech_ country facts and trends/default.asp.

[18] G. Brookes (2019), "Glyphosate use in Asia and implications of possible restrictions on its use", *AgBioForum, Online Advanced Publication*, **21**(1), pp.1-26.

[19] Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development (MARD) (2019), No: 10/2019/TT-BNNPTNT on 20 Sept 2019, Lists of Pesticides Permitted for Use or Banned from Use in Vietnam.

[20] International Service for the Acquisition of Agri-biotech Applications (ISAAA) (2020), *The GM Crop Events Approved in Vietnam, GM Approval Database*, available at: http://www.isaaa.org/gmapprovaldatabase/.

[21] D. Le, C.M. Nguyen, R.K. Mann, B.V.N. Kumar, M.A. Morell (2018), "Efficacy of Rinskor[™] (florpyrauxifen-benzyl ester) on herbicide resistant barnyardgrass (*Echinochloa crus-galli*) in rice fields of Mekong delta, Vietnam", *Journal of Crop Science and Biotechnology*, **21(1)**, pp.75-81.

[22] S.R. Baerson, D.J. Rodriguez, M. Tran, Y.M. Feng, N.A. Biest, G.M. Dill (2002), "Glyphosate-resistant goosegrass. Identification of a mutation in the target enzyme 5-enolpyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate synthase", *Plant Physiol.*, **129(3)**, pp.1265-1275.

[23] Q. Yu, A. Jalaludin, H. Han, M. Chen, R.D. Sammons, S.B. Powles (2015), "Evolution of a double amino acid substitution in the 5-enolpyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate synthase in *Eleusine indica* conferring high-level glyphosate resistance", *Plant Physiol.*, **167(4)**, pp.1440-1447.

[24] J.C. Chen, H.J. Huang, C.X. Zhang, S.H. Wei, Z.F. Huang, J.Y. Chen, X. Wang (2015), "Mutations and amplification of *EPSPS* gene confer resistance to glyphosate in goosegrass (*Eleusine indica*)", *Planta*, **242(4)**, pp.859-868.

[25] J.M. Malone, S. Morran, N. Shirley, P. Boutsalis, C. Preston (2016), "*EPSPS* gene amplification in glyphosate-resistant *Bromus diandrus*", *Pest Manage. Sci.*, **72(1)**, pp.81-88.

[26] R.A. Salas, F.E. Dayan, Z. Pan, S.B. Watson, J.W. Dickson, R.C. Scott, N.R. Burgos (2012), "*EPSPS* gene amplification in glyphosate-resistant Italian ryegrass (*Lolium perenne ssp. multiflorum*) from Arkansas", *Pest Manage. Sci.*, **68**(9), pp.1223-1230.

[27] T.A. Gaines, W. Zhang, D. Wang, B. Bukun, S.T. Chisholm, D.L. Shaner, S.J. Nissen, W.L. Patzoldt, P.J. Tranel, A.S. Culpepper (2010), "Gene amplification confers glyphosate resistance in *Amaranthus palmeri*", *PNAS*, **107(3)**, pp.1029-1034.

[28] R.D. Sammons, T.A. Gaines (2014), "Glyphosate resistance: state of knowledge", *Pest Manage. Sci.*, **70**(9), pp.1367-1377.

[29] T.A. Gaines, I.M. Heap (2016), "Mutations in herbicideresistant weeds to EPSP synthase inhibitors", *International Service for the Acquisition of Agri-Biotech Applications (ISAAA)*, available at: http://www.weedscience.org/Mutations/MutationDisplayAll. aspx?MOAID=12.

[30] M.L. Healy-Fried, T. Funke, M.A. Priestman, H. Han, E. Schönbrunn (2007), "Structural basis of glyphosate tolerance resulting from mutations of Pro101 in *Escherichia coli* 5-enolpyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate synthase", *J. Biol. Chem.*, **282(45)**, pp.32949-32955.

[31] H.K. Takano, V.N. Fernandes, F.S. Adegas, R.S. Oliveira Jr, P. Westra, T.A. Gaines, F.E. Dayan (2020), "A novel TIPT double mutation in EPSPS conferring glyphosate resistance in tetraploid *Bidens subalternans*", *Pest Manage. Sci.*, **76(1)**, pp.95-102.

[32] M.J. García, C. Palma-Bautista, A.M. Rojano-Delgado, E. Bracamonte, J. Portugal, R. Alcántara-de la Cruz, R. De Prado (2019), "The triple amino acid substitution TAP-IVS in the EPSPS gene confers high glyphosate resistance to the superweed *Amaranthus hybridus*", *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, **20(10)**, DOI: 10.3390/ijms20102396.

[33] D.A. Giacomini, P. Westra, S.M. Ward (2018), "Variable inheritance of amplified EPSPS gene copies in glyphosate-resistant palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*)", *Weed Sci.*, **67(2)**, pp.176-182.

[34] V.K. Nandula, A.A. Wright, J.A. Bond, J.D. Ray, T.W. Eubank, W.T. Molin (2014), "*EPSPS* amplification in glyphosateresistant spiny amaranth (*Amaranthus spinosus*): a case of gene transfer via interspecific hybridization from glyphosate-resistant palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*)", *Pest Manage. Sci.*, **70(12)**, pp.1902-1909.

[35] L. Lorentz, T.A. Gaines, S.J. Nissen, P. Westra, H.J. Strek, H.W. Dehne, J.P. Ruiz-Santaella, R. Beffa (2014), "Characterization of glyphosate resistance in *Amaranthus tuberculatus* populations", *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, **62(32)**, pp.8134-8142.

[36] L.A. Chatham, C. Wu, C.W. Riggins, A.G. Hager, B.G. Young, G.K. Roskamp, P.J. Tranel (2015), "*EPSPS* gene amplification is present in the majority of glyphosate-resistant Illinois waterhemp (*Amaranthus tuberculatus*) populations", *Weed Technol.*, **29(1)**, pp.48-55.

[37] A.T. Wiersma, T.A. Gaines, C. Preston, J.P. Hamilton, D. Giacomini, C.R. Buell, J.E. Leach, P. Westra (2015), "Gene amplification of 5-enol-pyruvylshikimate-3-phosphate synthase in glyphosate-resistant *Kochia scoparia*", *Planta*, **241**(2), pp.463-474.

[38] T.D. Ngo, J.M. Malone, P. Boutsalis, G. Gill, C. Preston (2018), "EPSPS gene amplification conferring resistance to glyphosate in windmill grass (*Chloris truncata*) in Australia", *Pest Manage. Sci.*, **74(5)**, pp.1101-1108.

[39] P. Adu-Yeboah, J.M. Malone, B. Fleet, G. Gill, C. Preston (2019), "EPSPS gene amplification confers resistance to glyphosate resistant populations of *Hordeum glaucum* Stued (northern barley grass) in South Australia", *Pest Manage. Sci.*, **76(4)**, pp.1214-1221.

[40] A. Chandi, S.R. Milla-Lewis, D. Giacomini, P. Westra, C.

Preston, D.L. Jordan, A.C. York, J.D. Burton, J.R. Whitaker (2012), "Inheritance of evolved glyphosate resistance in a North Carolina Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*) biotype", *International Journal of Agronomy*, **2012**, DOI: 10.1155/2012/176108.

[41] M. Mohseni-Moghadam, J. Schroeder, J. Ashigh (2013), "Mechanism of resistance and inheritance in glyphosate resistant Palmer amaranth (*Amaranthus palmeri*) populations from New Mexico, USA", *Weed Sci.*, **61(4)**, pp.517-525.

[42] S.B. Powles (2010), "Gene amplification delivers glyphosateresistant weed evolution", *PNAS*, **107(3)**, pp.955-956.

[43] G.M. Dill, R.D. Sammons, P.C.C. Feng, F. Kohn, K. Kretzmer, A. Mehrsheikh, M. Bleeke, J.L. Honegger, D. Farmer, D. Wright, E.A. Haupfear (2010), "Glyphosate: discovery, development, applications, and properties", *Glyphosate Resistance in Crops and Weeds*, DOI: 10.1002/9780470634394.ch1.

[44] M.M. Vila-Aiub, M.C. Balbi, A.J. Distefano, L. Fernandez, E. Hopp, Q. Yu, S.B. Powles (2012), "Glyphosate resistance in perennial *Sorghum halepense* (Johnsongrass), endowed by reduced glyphosate translocation and leaf uptake", *Pest Manage. Sci.*, **68(3)**, pp.430-436.

[45] L.B. de Carvalho, P.L. Alves, F. González-Torralva, H.E. Cruz-Hipolito, A.M. Rojano-Delgado, R. De Prado, J. Gil-Humanes, F. Barro, M.D. de Castro (2012), "Pool of resistance mechanisms to glyphosate in *Digitaria insularis*", *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, **60(2)**, pp.615-622.

[46] Y. Bostamam, J.M. Malone, F.C. Dolman, P. Boutsalis, C. Preston (2012), "Rigid ryegrass (*Lolium rigidum*) populations containing a target site mutation in EPSPS and reduced glyphosate translocation are more resistant to glyphosate", *Weed Sci.*, **60(3)**, pp.474-479.

[47] H. Ghanizadeh, K.C. Harrington, T.K. James, D.J. Woolley, N.W. Ellison (2015), "Mechanisms of glyphosate resistance in two perennial ryegrass (*Lolium perenne*) populations", *Pest Manage. Sci.*, 71(12), pp.1617-1622.

[48] D. Lorraine-Colwill, S. Powles, T. Hawkes, P. Hollinshead, S. Warner, C. Preston (2002), "Investigations into the mechanism of glyphosate resistance in *Lolium rigidum*", *Pestic. Biochem. Physiol.*, **74(2)**, pp.62-72.

[49] L. Pan, Q. Yu, H. Han, L. Mao, A. Nyporko, L. Fan, L. Bai, S. Powles (2019), "Aldo-keto reductase metabolizes glyphosate and confers glyphosate resistance in *Echinochloa colona*", *Plant Physiol.*, **181(4)**, pp.1519-1534.

[50] C. Anagnostopoulos, P. Stasinopoulou, P. Kanatas, I. Travlos (2020), "Differences in metabolism of three *Conyza* species to herbicides glyphosate and triclopyr revealed by LC-MS/MS", *Chilean Journal of Agricultural Research*, **80(1)**, pp.100-107.

[51] J.M. Green (2014), "Current state of herbicides in herbicideresistant crops", *Pest Manage. Sci.*, **70(9)**, pp.1351-1357.